NO. 7368 P. 1

JUN 2 9 2606

TID A NICHATITYE A T			Application Number	09/950,087		
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date	September 10, 2001		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inventor	P. Hamilton Clark et al.		
1			Group Art Unit	3623		
			Examiner Name	Johnna R. Stimpak		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6		Attorney Docket Number	D/A 1322 (1508/3380)			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Reply After Final	Gor an A Drawing Declarat Licensin		Application) g(s) tion and Power of Attorney ng-related Papers	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (\$) (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition (\$) Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter Application Data Sheet		
Extension of Time Request (\$)				Request for Corrected Filing Receipt with		
Information Disclosure Statement (\$) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Notice to File Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application (\$) A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE Firm or Individual name SIGNATURE ON Registration C/O Gunnar C Nixon Peabo Clinton Squa Rochester, N Telephone:		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer (\$				
Signature	Fax: (585) 263-1600					
Date	Julie 29, 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at						
571-273-8300. June 29, 2006 Date Signature John F. Guay Typed or minted name						

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUN 2 9 2006

NO. 7368 P. 2

PATENT

Docket No.: D/A 1322 (1508/3380)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants	:	P. Hamilton Clark et al.	Examiner:
Serial No.	:	09/950,087) Johnna R. Stimpak)
Cnfrm. No.	:	2257) Art Unit:) 3623
Filed	:	September 10, 2001) }
For	:	ELECTRONIC PROJECT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM USING PROJECT PHASES	,)))

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the April 19, 2006, final office action, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims.

In the final office action, the Examiner maintained the rejection of Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19-21, 23-25 and 27-29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), on the grounds that they were allegedly considered to be anticipated by the McAtee et al. patent (U.S. Patent No. 5,301,320). As set forth in MPEP § 2131, "to anticipate a claim, the reference must teach every element of the claim." For reasons presented below, it is respectfully submitted that the McAtee et al. patent does not teach every element of the rejected claims, and therefore does not meet the standard of anticipation of those claims.

On pages 10-12 of the response filed on February 1, 2006, Applicants pointed out that the parts of the McAtee et al. patent relied upon in the November 4, 2005, action (i.e., column 5, lines 25-30 and column 7, lines 17-33) do not describe, either explicitly or inherently, claimed processes including "identifying which of one or more stored exit criteria are applicable to at least one of the phases of the project," as recited in independent claims 1,